

FREE TO MEMBERS: \$2.00 TO NON-MEMBERS

VOLUME II

FEBRUARY 1990

ISSUE I

The Long Island U.F.O. REPORTER

TM

THE JOURNAL OF THE LONG ISLAND U.F.O. NETWORK INC.



PHOTO OF AN ALLEGED U.F.O. TAKEN IN 1982
IN LAWRENCE, N.Y., BY A DR. FELLIS.

IN THIS ISSUE:

UPDATE ON THE MORICHES BAY U.F.O. RETRIEVAL CASE.
A REPORT ON THE COLUMBIA STREET CASE.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR 1988.

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY.

COPYRIGHT 1990

THE LONG ISLAND U.F.O. NETWORK INC.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

THE ISLAND SCENE

..... The investigation schedule for LIUFON has reached the breaking point. We can not keep up with the avalanche of information that has reached the organization in the last two months. Reports are flooding into the organization from all over the Island area. In the first two months of this year, LIUFON has received reports totaling in excess of well over forty some odd reports. This coupled with some ten cases still open for investigation from our 1989 case load..... LIUFON just simply needs more investigators. If any member is interested, please contact us for a application to become an investigator.....

... Some of the recent reports indicate that the surface has only begun to be scratched in the Eastern Suffolk area.... For example these are only some of the recent reports that have reached our ears this month....

..... The Town of Riverhead : There is some substance that there have been landings in farm fields of objects in the last several years.... One witness has told us he knows of two such instances that have occurred there in the last two years.... In January from the Town of East Hampton came a report of a object that hovered over a woman's house which bathed her back yard in a white beam of light. The object then deposited two alien entities in plain sight. The woman called the East Hampton Police and two cars responded to the scene..... This whole radio transmission was overheard by three Amanganset Volunteer Firemen and a Chief on their scanners. LIUFON has contacted the East Hampton Police only to get a flat denial. The Firemen still stick to their story.....

.... A 1976 report of a large object buzzing the L.I.E. at exit 57 in Hauppauge in the evening. The object was 500 feet in diameter and was a classic disc with a dome... It was accompanied by three smaller objects of similar description.... There have been repeated animal mutilations in the Brookhaven Town parks in the Setauket area.... From the months of July through to October.... Dogs, cats and raccoons have been seen to be surgically mutilated with no signs of blood.... We also have photos of a recent cat mutilation which had its annal area cored and bored out of all tissue with no blood at the scene or any on the carcass.

.....We have a report of a Labor Day weekend sighting of a unknown at Cedar Beach....This is one mile from the Shoreham Reactor... Six witnesses reported a large diamond shape object to have sailed towards the reactor after first buzzing their position in the Beach Parking lot.... Size was several hundred feet across.....Report from East Quogue confirms that a second object of similar description to the September 28, 1989 Moriches Bay Case was seen in the area of Lewis Road and followed a woman in her car to her front doorstep to be seen by her husband and friends. The witnesses have positively identified the photos from the Moriches Bay Case as the object they saw.... By the way they live 1/4 mile from the end of the main runway at the West Hampton Air Guard Base..... More on this case will be said in this issue in the Chairman's Corner..... This is not only the last, we have received in addition to these cases reports of old sightings from ten to twenty five years ago that people have never reported to anyone.....LIUFON is investigating and will continue to report to the membership and the public.....30.

THE CHAIRMAN'S CORNER

BY JOHN FORD

The last issue of the, " Long Island U.F.O. Reporter ", carried the story about an incident that occurred on Sept. 28, 1989 over Moriches Bay and on the Dune Area of Smith's Point Beach. This incident may have been an attempt by the U.S. Armed Forces and elements of the Suffolk County Police Aviation Bureau to monitor a disabled U.F.O. that had landed in the Dune Area of the Beach that night. It also involved a second object of about 574 feet long that hovered in the middle of Moriches Bay. The story also revolved around the Peterson family, (not their real names) who saw the military and police helicopters monitor the object in the Dunes and surrounded the second object over the Bay. This incident was also photographed by the Petersons. This type of operation is known in Ufology as a Retrieval Operation, the retrieval of a U.F.O. or least the attempt at one in this case. Yes it happened here on Long Island, on the South Shore in one of Suffolk County's largest residential communities.

The military, principally the Coast Guard and the Air National Guard at West Hampton with the assistance of the Police were involved in the incidents; according to their own admission from official reports. They stated in their correspondence to L.I.U.F.O.N. that they were searching for a reportedly sinking private boat. They did have helicopters out there and a plane that night which were engaged in the search. The plane was dropping parachute flares. The Police conducted a search over the Bay until 9:00 p.m. with one helicopter. The Coast Guard and the Air National Guard employed two helicopters with the assistance of a KC-130 Hercules transport (which was the principal aircraft used to drop the flares) out over the ocean at the last reported position of the sinking boat. The position was some three miles off of Smith's Point Beach. There were also two Coast Guard Cutters employed in the operation and which took up positions off of the Beach. The call, however, was a hoax called in by a prankster that night which has been admitted to by the authorities in both public announcements and correspondence.

Our Freedom of Information Act Requests were filed with the Suffolk County Police and the U.S. Coast Guard and have provided us with this much information. The New York State Air National Guard has consistently not answered any correspondence which has been sent to them.

Why does L.I.U.F.O.N. still maintain an official position that it was something else in light of all the official denials and public statements that have been made ? L.I.U.F.O.N. maintains that the evidence points to something else and that all the official statements were just a coverup. Why ? Because of these facts:

- 1) Forty eight photographs were taken of a large object over the Bay by the Peterson family. These photographs reveal a object composed of five large amber lights. The military states these are parachute flares photographed over the Bay.
- 2) the Fund for U.F.O. Research has analyzed the pictures on computers. Their analysis reveals the lights not to be flares, fluorescent or incandescent light sources. They deem the lights to be cold sources of light emissions. The computer could not locate on its scan any thermal readings from the emulsion pattern on the photograph.

- 3) A large object composed of six large amber lights was seen in ten different locations in Connecticut an hour and fifteen minutes before the incident over Moriches Bay began. This has been confirmed by Internationally respected U.F.O. Researcher Phil Imbrogno.
- 4) Fishermen in Center Moriches and Shirley have contacted us since the event to inform us that there is a periodic 17 degree variation on Magneitic North on their boat's compasses when they are in the area of the mouth of Moriches Inlet. Sometimes their compasses will not stay on North or work at all. This is in the area of the alleged landing in the Dunes.
- 5) Two L.I.U.F.O.N. investigators two weeks before the incident occurred observed military and police helicopters practicing formation flying over Moriches Bay. This formation flying was the same pattern used on the night of the incident.
- 6) An area of 75 feet in diameter was discovered on October 22, 1989 by a L.I.U.F.O.N. field team in the area of the Dunes which was composed of crushed and dead vegetation. This area closely resembles a U.F.O. landing site.
- 7) An F.A.A. official in a letter to L.I.U.F.O.N. admitted that there was only helicopters involved in the search that night. In his letter he indicated that there was no mention of any involvement of a KC-130. No KC-130, no flares, equals strange lights over the Bay that could suddenly blind observers with their brilliance as reported by the Petersons.
- 8) On October 15, 1989, the Federal Aviation Administration destroyed all records of this incident since they keep flight records of this or any aircraft activity for some fifteen days. Yet in a letter dated to us months later, an F.A.A. Official stated in a letter to us that their records indicate that only military helicopter activity was reported to them. If no records were available what records was this gentleman referring to? Who is kidding who?
- 9) While the incident began over Moriches Bay at 8:45 p.m. that night and lasted until 11:45 p.m., the Petersons reported a large object of 5 to 6 large amber lights in the middle of the bay at an altitude of several hundred feet. They were able to photograph it and record thus their observations. At 9:30 p.m. to 9:45 p.m. the same night a woman by the name of Kathy O'Donnell and her husband reported that a similar object composed of six large amber lights had chased and followed Kathy down Lewis Road onto Hollow Pine Tree Road in East Quogue. The object even followed her to her house where her husband and a friend also observed it as it moved off to the West. One thing that stuck in their minds about the incident was that it made no noise and the unusual brilliance of the lights. It is our opinion here at L.I.U.F.O.N. that more than one large object of equal description was in the night skies of Long Island that night. Was it looking for something it lost, for the O'Donnells stated that it hovered over a potato field before moving off as if looking for something. Was it looking for a lost U.F.O.?
- 10) A government scientist contacted and met with one of our members who relayed a story to L.I.U.F.O.N. investigators according to his instructions. His story was that indeed a U.F.O. had been brought down that night by the government. He told of a secret "Star Wars" project at Brookhaven Laboratories that involved the use of "Super Conductors" to generate a high intensity laser that was developed for the purpose of shooting down U.F.O.'s. On the night of September 28, 1989 it was used for that purpose. He also told of the attempt to capture that object that met with unsuccessful results. In the Dunes, the attempt met with disaster and the lost of some nineteen lives by the government when resistance

was met in its capture. The whole incident was quickly cleaned up that night. The story is presented here for your edification. L.I.U.F.O.N. can not prove or disprove it. The only question to be answered is why did he contact us to have the story given to us. The gentleman is who he says he is and does work at Brookhaven Laboratory. What was his motive for this story ?

In conclusion, we bring you this report for you our membership to judge. Did something strange happen that night or did the Coast Guard and the Police go on a wild goose chase tracking down a prankster's trick ? Or could it just, just by chance that something else did occur that night that involved "National Security " of the highest level that resulted in the whole affair being covered up from the public ? What about the sightings in Connecticut ? What was that thing seen in East Quogue ?

As more breaks on this investigation, L.I.U.F.O.N. will keep you informed. 30

COLUMBIA STREET CASE UPDATE

In October of 1988, an incident occurred in Port Jefferson involving the Suffolk County Police's Sixth Precinct. This incident involved a Disc shaped object that had buzzed a Postal truck and several responding Police cars. It also involved several civilian witnesses to the incident. It also involved a Federal Agency covering up the incident and coercing all involved to remain silent, including the Suffolk Police.

The story was leaked to us by several Police Officers and a Suffolk County Government Official who must remain anonymous. It also involved the disclosure of a secret briefing manual that is distributed to the Command structure of the Suffolk Police Department on how to handle U.F.O. related incidents.

The case officially remains open in the archives of the Long Island U.F.O. Network and is still under further investigation. Our continuing investigation has uncovered more facts.

Here are some of them:


- 1) The first car on the scene was number 613 and after the incident had to be taken out of service for maintenance. The reason was electrical problems and blistering of paint on the car.
- 2) The first Agency called by the Police was the Federal Aviation Administration to check to see if any aircraft had been in the area.
- 3) A Police Commander as according to procedure contacted the Federal Intelligence Agency involved after first notifying his superiors at Headquarters in Yaphank.
- 4) A house on Columbia Street became vacant two months after the incident when L.I.U.F.O.N. broke the story. It was used as a office for a Certified Public Accountant and a Exterminating Service. The story given was that the landlord had raised the rents, to an unacceptable level for the tenants to pay. Our investigators tried to follow up and locate the tenants to talk to them, but the landlord could not give a forwarding address for them. He also refused to give us their names. The total compliment employed by both businesses was three people. The same number of people reported to us who had witnessed the incident. The house sits opposite the railroad crossing where the incident occurred.
- 5) L.I.U.F.O.N. has failed to locate any additional witnesses to the incident although this is a heavily populated and transited area. It

as if no one wants to talk. The residents in the area also reported to us that they never heard of the incident and that there was no knowledge of it until L.I.U.F.O.N. broke the story.

6) After the incident was reported to the newspapers L.I.U.F.O.N. learned that the officers of the Sixth Precinct were told not to mention the incident or discuss it with their families. In addition, they were warned not to have any dealings with L.I.U.F.O.N. on the matter.

7) In June of 1989 an incident was reported to us that involved a nighttime sighting of two disc shaped objects over a Coram residential area. Two Sixth Precinct cars responded with a Sargeant and two Police Officers who also witnessed the objects. The Sixth Precinct denies the incident, although L.I.U.F.O.N. learned of the incident through a confidential Police source. Another further coverup ?

The Columbia Street Case only proves that the Federal Government still covers up U.F.O. incidents on Long Island. It also proves that it will stop at nothing to keep them secret from the public's eye.



THIS A PHOTO OF THE RAILROAD CROSSING AND YARDS
AT COLUMBIA STREET IN PORT JEFFERSON, NEW YORK.
(PHOTO LIUFON ARCHIVES)

THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR 1988

The following is a statistical analysis breakdown for the year of 1988. It comprises some 121 reported cases encompassing some 179 reports submitted to the organization. The way L.I.U.F.O.N. handles its reporting system is to receive a report from each individual witness involved in a case. In some cases involving multiple witnesses an individual report is taken and is then assigned a report number and is usually put in a case folder indicating which case it is assigned to.

Here is the statistics as prepared by Bruce Richardson, investigator, for L.I.U.F.O.N.:

- 1) Composition of gender for witnesses: 47% were male, while 53% were female.
- 2) The number of witnesses per sighting:
 - A) 1 additional witness — 36%
 - B) No additional witnesses — 31%
 - C) More than 2 additional witnesses — 18%
 - D) 2 additional witnesses — 15%
- 3) Frequency of sightings as to day of week:


Most frequent day is Monday, Followed by Saturday, Wednesday, Thursday and Sunday. The least number of sightings can be expected on a Friday.
- 4) Most frequent time period of sightings has occurred in the 1980's. The 1960's is second with the 1970's the least reported time period.
- 5) The distance that most objects are seen at is:
 - A) 15% occur at a distance less than a mile.
 - B) 21% occur at a distance of more than a mile.
 - C) 31% occur at a distance greater than a mile to two miles away.
 - D) 9% occur at a distance greater than three miles away.
- 6) Heaviest month for 1988 for sightings on Long Island was August followed by July. September was next followed by November and April. The least activity reported for 1988 was in the months of January and December.
- 7) The breakdown for August was as follows:
 - A) There were 18 sightings in August 1988, with 13 of the 18 reported between August 15th and 18th. There were 10 sightings on August 15th.
 - B) They were; 2 in Watermill, 2 in Riverhead, 3 in South Hampton, and 1 sighting per town for East Hampton, Sagaponack and Mattituck.
 - C) 57% reported disc shaped objects, while 43% either reported cloud like object or beams of light, coming from the cloud.
- 8) Most frequently reported time for sightings is 92% reporting a nighttime sighting while only 8% reported daytime observations.
- 9) The shape most commonly reported is broken down as such:
 - A) Disc shape 67% (encompasses category of disc 31%, round 16%, oval 16% and oblong 4%).
 - B) 20% involved the categories of rectangle 4%, cigar 5%, triangle 5% and lights 5%.
 - C) 13% for all other shapes or phenomena.
- 10) Percentage involving landings; 87% negative while 13% were affirmative.
- 11) Percentage involving Close Encounters or Abductions; 87% were negative while some 13% were affirmative.
- 12) The most frequently involved age group;
 - A) 20 - 39 years old 57%.
 - B) 40 - 59 years old 18%.
 - C) 0 - 19 years old, 14%.
 - d) 60 years old & over 11%.

13) The size distribution for objects reported in 1988 were:

- A) 7% reported objects between 3 ft. to 15 ft.
- B) 7% reported objects of a 1000 ft..
- C) 7% reported objects in excess of 2500 ft..
- D) 28% reported objects of 50 ft..
- E) 21% reported objects of between 30 to 40 ft..
- E) 16% reported objects of 500 ft..

14) The most frequently described surface of these objects was a dark grey, followed by a description of black blending in with the night sky.

THE LONG ISLAND DISC.



This is the most frequently seen object in the skies of Long Island. It is usually put in the range of between 30 to 50 feet. Usually seen as a singular object with either lights or windows on it.

The statistical analysis you have read is based on L.I.U.F.O.N.'s own independent research. None of the information discussed has been solicited from outside sources.

DOCTOR FELL'S U.F.O. PHOTO CASE.

CASE NUMBER: 109-88

FROM THE ARCHIVES OF THE LONG ISLAND U.F.O. NETWORK INC.

In September of 1988 a Doctor David Fells of Lawrence N.Y., contacted the Long Island U.F.O. Network to inform us that he had in his possession two photographs of U.F.O.'s. Doctor Fells informed us that he had taken the pictures in February of 1982 from his rear bedroom window in his home in Lawrence located in the Five Towns Area of Nassau County. In the series of photographs he had taken, Doctor Fells informed us he had two clear pictures of the evening sky and two pictures of the sky with a white disc. Unable to explain the event, the Doctor held onto the pictures for some six years hoping that he could find some one who could offer an explanation for the appearance of the two discs on his film. The reason it was such a mystery was that the Doctor never remembered seeing the objects in the evening sky. In the fifth picture he had taken a view of the interior of the room from which he had shot the pictures.

Doctor Fells was interviewed by our staff by phone and he was asked to send us the picture. It was followed by written reports which were sent to us by Doctor Fells. The tape recorded telephone interview and the written reports gave us a basis to go on. About a week later, on September 12, 1988, the Doctor had sent the negatives from which copies and blow-ups were made for analysis by L.I.U.F.O.N..

The photographs and negatives were then sent to L.I.U.F.O.N.'s own photo expert Chris Zamboni. Chris did a thorough job of photographic analysis based upon his twenty years as a internationally recognized expert, professional photographer.

Chris' analysis began by examining the negatives and the photos. He checked the negatives for any alterations or superimposing techniques. He also checked the emulsion pattern of the photographs to detect any signs which would show evidence of trick photography. He did detect a reflection in the lower left of the pictures indicating the pictures had been taken through a glass window. This coincided with the statement that Doctor Fells had originally given us. The absence of any evidence of trick photography or emulsion irregularities gave support to the belief that the pictures were unaltered. It also coincided with Doctor Fells' background as a amateur photographer who lacked the equipment and training to pull off such a trick. Yet, in the fifth picture there was the view of the interior of the room and it showed under analysis that it had a recessed light fixture in the ceiling directly behind the window from which the pictures were taken. If the photo was that of genuine U.F.O.'s, then why didn't the Doctor see them when he had taken the pictures.

This was the main problem with the case. What was the answer? Were they real U.F.O.'s or did the camera play a trick? For the problem was they appeared only after the pictures were developed. Nothing was witnessed by the Doctor.

Chris next studied the fifth photograph. There was indeed a possible explanation, the recessed light in the ceiling. The question had to be answered. Did someone turn on the light behind the Doctor when he was taking his pictures? Especially when he had taken the third and fourth ones, those pictures with the white discs on them.

Doctor Fells was contacted by phone again. He was asked to remember

(CONTINUED ON PAGE 10)

THE U.F.O. FACT SHEET

BY KELLY FREEMAN

Due to the complicated nature of the U.F.O. problem, this fact sheet has been developed so the uninformed may better understand, and perhaps, elicit further research and involvement in this most vital issue facing mankind today.

- 1) U.F.O.'s are seen by hundreds of people worldwide every day.
- 2) U.F.O.'s are not only reported in the traditional disc-shape, but in all sorts of shapes, sizes and colors.
- 3) U.F.O.'s may not only be interplanetary, but interdimensional or even originate from some yet unexplored region of the globe such as our oceans. Theories abound on the subject.
- 4) Entities of many different descriptions have been reported over the years, the most common being small, grey skinned 3-4 foot tall beings with oversized heads and large elongated eyes.
- 5) Thousands of people worldwide have reportedly been abducted by U.F.O.'s and thousands more may still be unreported due to the unusual circumstances surrounding such events.
- 6) A close encounter with a U.F.O. could have serious physical effects on an observer due to nuclear, electromagnetic or microwave radiation emitted from such objects.
- 7) Entities from U.F.O.'s have been known to display both benevolent and malevolent deeds towards human and animals.
- 8) In the past 45 years, anywhere from 12 to over 51 alleged U.F.O. crash/retrieval operations have been reported worldwide.
- 9) Cattle and other animal mutilations have not stopped and continue to this day in many parts of the world.
- 10) U.F.O.'s have been and are still being routinely reported over nuclear missile silos, nuclear weapons storage facilities, restricted test areas and other military installations as well as over nuclear power plants and other energy related facilities.
- 11) Military personnel who have seen U.F.O.'s etc., are debriefed and sworn to secrecy and/or are threatened with fines and imprisonment if they should reveal such information to the public.
- 12) The U.S. Government will stop at nothing to keep the true nature of U.F.O.'s secret.
- 13) The U.S. Government and other governments of the world have practiced and are still practicing a deadly game of coverup regarding the existence of U.F.O.'s.
- 14) An understanding of the U.F.O. problem is imperative so we may evaluate the impact it may have, or has, on our national/world security and every day lifestyles.
- 15) U.F.O.'s are real!!

EDITOR'S NOTE: It is not uncommon to hear reports in areas of animal mutilation activity and heavy U.F.O. sightings of rumors of human disappearances and mutilations. In Ufology, the stories of alleged human mutilations have never been documented by researchers, but they continue to appear from time to time from reliable sources.

if the light was turned on at anytime. He did answer that his wife had entered the room turning on the light as she did.

The two photos showing the disc have reflections in them that appear to be of a reflection from a window behind the photographer. The angle of the top of the reflection of the window and that of the disc are the same. This seems to indicate that when taking the photos the photographer tilted the camera and being that the ceiling fixture and the top of the window behind the photographer are on the same axis, they appear on the same angle in the photo.

It was Chris' impression that the picture was a double exposure of the ceiling light on the window behind the photographer reflected onto the window in front of the photographer and captured by the camera. It was thus interpreted by the Doctor as a picture of a U.F.O..

To check out the explanation, L.I.U.F.O.N. sent the negatives and pictures to a second expert in early 1989. He wishes to remain anonymous. He examined the negatives and pictures again. His conclusion based on the facts supported the first analysis done by Chris Zamboni. He was even able to match the dimension of the light fixture with that of the two discs in the two pictures. He even noted that Doctor Fells had stepped to the right somewhat resulting in the impression in the fourth picture that the object had moved.

It should be noted that in Ufology that 90 to 95 percent of all U.F.O. reports are misinterpretations of conventional objects or situations. It should also be noted that photos should not be taken as prima facie evidence of real objects, but often they can be faked or misinterpreted themselves. This is such a case.




PHOTO NUMBER THREE OF THE SERIES.
(L.I.U.F.O.N. ARCHIVES.)

THESE ARE TWO MORE OF THE PHOTOS DEVELOPED FROM DOCTOR FELLIS'
SERIES OF NEGATIVES THAT HE SENT L.I.U.F.O.N..



THIS IS A PICTURE OF THE EVENING SKY
WITHOUT THE WHITE DISC.



THIS IS THE PICTURE OF THE ROOM WITH
THE RECESSED LIGHT FIXTURE.
(L.I.U.F.O.N. ARCHIVES.)

LONG ISLAND U.F.O. NETWORK
P.O. BOX 232
CENTER MORICHES, N.Y. 11934
U.F.O. HOTLINE (516) 286-3212